```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                  FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
 3
                                     13CV10974-ADB
 4
       MEGAN IRWIN and
       THOMAS IRWIN
 5
                                     OCTOBER 1, 2015
                                     10:00 A.M.-1:00 P.M.
       VS.
 6
       ECLECTIC DINING, INC.
 7
                                     BOSTON, MA
 8
              BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALLISON D. BURROUGHS
 9
                            DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
                              (Jury Trial)
12
                               VOLUME IV
13
                           EXCERPT TRANSCRIPT
14
       APPEARANCES:
15
16
       FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
                              SCOTT E. CHARNAS, ESQ.
                               Charnas Law Firm, P.C.
17
                               66 Long Wharf
                               Boston, MA 02110
18
       FOR THE DEFENDANT:
                               JOHN F.X. LAWLER, ESQ.
                              HEATHER M. GAMACHE, ESQ.
19
                               Prince Lobel Tye LLP
                               Suite 3700
20
                               One International Place
21
                               Boston. MA 02110
22
       Court Reporter:
                              Debra D. Lajoie, RPR-FCRR-CRI-RMR
                              One Courthouse Way
23
                               Boston, MA 02210
24
25
                 Proceeding reported and produced
                  by computer-aided stenography
```

1	<u>I N D E X</u>	
2		
3	PLAINTIFF WITNESS	<u>PAGE</u>
4	Brian Greenwald, MD	3
5	Continued Cross-examination by Mr. Lawler Redirect Examination by Mr. Charnas	25 39
6	Recross Examination by Mr. Lawler Re-redirect Examination by Mr. Charnas	49
7	<u>Dr. Hibbard</u> Continued Cross-examination by Mr. Lawler	51
8	Continued Cross-examination by in . Lawren	51
9		
10	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	
11		
12	PLAINTIFF FOR ID	IN FULL
13	151	51
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 OCTOBER 2015 -- 10:00 A.M. 1 (The jury is present for the following) 2 3 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Thank you 4 for being on time. I'm delighted we can start right at 5 10:00. 6 Mr. Lawler. 7 MR. LAWLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Dr. Greenwald, I'd like to remind 9 you that you're still under oath. 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 11 MR. LAWLER: Good morning, Ladies and Gentlemen. 12 CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWLER 13 Q. Dr. Greenwald, good morning. How are you? 14 Α. Good morning. I'm okay, thank you. 15 Q. Yesterday I asked you a number of questions, and I 16 just want to pick up on one particular area, and that 17 deals with information that's contained in your report. 18 Do you have that in front of you, sir? 19 Α. I do, yes. 20 Could you turn to Page 9 of your report. And if Q. 21 you could look at the seventh line down on that report, 22 and just so I can get you in the right place, it's the 23 sentence that says, "Her medical history was notable

for two prior head injuries sustained in field hockey

24

25

in high school."

I read that correctly; is that right?

A. Yes, that's correct.

- Q. So, essentially, what you did was, in reviewing -you talked about it yesterday, in reviewing the records
 during the eight-hour period when you were working to
 complete this particular report, you were reviewing
 records and then dictating what you thought was
 significant in to your Dictaphone, and then that was
 completed in to the report; is that right?
- A. That's correct.
- **Q**. Okay. So, you were aware that Mrs. Irwin had two concussions while in high school; is that right?
- A. That's correct.
 - **Q**. And were you aware that the first concussion occurred when she was about 14 or 15 years old when she was playing field hockey?
 - A. Correct.
 - **Q**. And is it your understanding that she suffered a loss of consciousness in that particular incident?
 - A. I don't have a specific loss of consciousness or not.
 - **Q**. Okay. Would that information, whether she suffered loss of consciousness just in that particular incident, would that be significant or insignificant to you?

- A. I knew she had a concussion. The loss ofconsciousness wouldn't be significant or not.
 - Q. It wouldn't be?
 - **A**. No.
 - **Q**. Even if it was for a couple of minutes?
- 6 **A**. No.

4

5

7

8

9

10

22

23

24

- **Q.** Okay. Well, would you agree with me that an impact that results in a loss of consciousness of one second is less severe than an impact that results in the loss of consciousness of a minute or two minutes?
- 11 A. I think you're talking --
- 12 **Q**. Yes or no?
- 13 A. I think you're talking about old data. I'm sorry.
- 14 I can't answer it. Ask it in another form.
- Q. You can't answer whether one is more severe than the other?
- 17 **A**. No.
- Q. Okay. All right. The -- are you aware that

 Mrs. Irwin also suffered another concussion while

 playing field hockey?
- 21 A. Yes. I am.
 - **Q**. Okay. Were you aware that, with both concussions when she was playing field hockey, that she was struck in the head by the field hockey ball and essentially knocked out for a period of time?

- **A.** I know that she had a concussion. I wasn't aware of specifically that she was knocked out.
 - **Q**. Okay. From your review of the records, are you aware as to whether or not Mrs. Irwin suffered any other concussions other than the two playing field hockey and the one that occurred on August 5th, 2012, at the Olde Salt House?
 - A. Yes. I am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

24

- Q. Okay. And so you're aware of how many more concussions other than that?
 - A. I know of at least one more concussion, the one where she struck her head on a pipe or something of that sort.
 - **Q**. Okay. So, that's the incident that occurred at the restaurant when she struck her head on the pipe; is that right? Does that sound familiar?
- **A.** The restaurant?
- Q. Okay. What's your understanding of how that
 particular concussion occurred? And if you don't know,
 you don't know.
 - A. I can look in my report.
- Q. Well, look at your report, then, if you would.
 Thank you.
 - A. I just have here that she struck her head on a pipe, but I don't have the location of where she was at

the time.

Q. Okay. There's testimony or evidence that Mrs. Irwin, in addition to the field hockey concussions, the two that were sustained in high school, that Mrs. Irwin likely sustained two other concussions prior to August 5th, 2012. One occurred in New York City at a restaurant, and the other occurred in Mr. and Mrs. Connolly's house in Osterville, Massachusetts.

I take it you're not aware of the one that occurred in Osterville, Massachusetts; is that right?

- A. I don't have information about that.
- Q. Okay. So, you don't -- it's not your understanding that there were four concussions before August 5th, 2012, but there were three; is that right?
- A. I'm aware of three.
- **Q**. Okay. Now, are you also aware of a concussion, or a likely concussion, that Mrs. Irwin sustained in September, 2014, when she fell from a taxicab in New York City?
- A. I know that -- I've reviewed records, but I didn't see any evidence of a concussion per se.
- **Q.** Okay. Well, when you reviewed the record, did you see that there were records after that particular incident that indicated that Mrs. Irwin's headaches

- were much more severe than they were prior to that particular incident?
 - A. I didn't realize it was related to that incident.

 There's a lot of records talking about how severe her headaches are.
 - **Q**. And in fact the records -- you said yesterday that, again, that you worked for about seven hours reviewing records that we talked about yesterday and that you then created the report in addition to the hour spent with Mrs. Irwin; right?
 - A. Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- **Q.** And that Mr. Charnas sent to you some additional records in the last month or so that covered a period of time, like, 2014 and 2015; right?
- A. That's correct.
 - **Q**. Okay. But it's safe to say that -- and isn't it safe to say that there were hundreds of pages of records that were provided to you?
- A. I'm a fast reader, but I have to know what to look for. I'd say it was a lot of records.
- Q. And you spent -- today's Thursday, so you spent two hours on Tuesday reviewing some of those records; right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 **Q**. Okay. And did you see anything in those records

about the taxi accident of September, 2014?

- A. I did. Most of it focused on her -- because she had injured her right leg, I believe, her ankle, a lot of it was focused on that.
- **Q**. Okay. Well, in addition to that, did you see that there was some indication that she struck her head on the roadway on that particular day?
- A. I did not look for that, no.
 MR. LAWLER: Okay. One moment, Your Honor?
 (Pause)
- Q. Now -- I'm going to come back to that in a second.

 I'm going to try to find that particular exhibit.

But I want to talk to you -- now, I think yesterday you talked about the term "post-traumatic amnesia;" right?

- A. I did, ves.
- Q. Okay. And there's also different terms that neurologists use in reference to describing amnesia -correct? -- retrograde and anterograde?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. You're not a neurologist, by the way, are you?
 - A. I'm not.
- Q. Okay. So, what is retrograde amnesia? Can you explain that to the jury?

- **A.** Sure. Having difficulty -- difficulty remembering things that occurred prior to an incident.
 - **Q**. Okay. So, retrograde amnesia is having amnesia that occurred prior to the incident; correct?
 - A. No. Of things that occurred prior to the accident.
 - **Q**. Okay. All right. So, if -- just to simplify it for me, so if I'm struck in the head and I'm knocked unconscious and I don't remember five minutes of what occurred before I was struck in the head, that's retrograde amnesia?
- A. Correct. Well said.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And if I'm struck in the head and then I don't remember five minutes after the impact, then that's anterograde amnesia?
 - A. I'm sorry. I was --
 - Q. That's fine.
 - A. Could you say it --

THE COURT: My fault. I was having trouble hearing him. I thought they might be having trouble hearing him.

MR. LAWLER: Can you hear me okay, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I can hear you fine.

MR. LAWLER: Okay.

Q. So, if I'm hit on the head and knocked unconscious

- and I have amnesia covering the events that occur five 2 minutes after I was knocked unconscious, that's 3 anterograde amnesia; correct?
 - Α. Anterograde amnesia, correct.
 - But you call them both post-traumatic amnesia; is Q. that right?
 - Α. That's correct, which covers both anterograde and retrograde amnesia, which is often what you see in someone who's had a trauma to the head and a traumatic brain injury.
 - Q. Okay. And I'm confused by that term because usually the "post" means after, but you say it doesn't matter; just it means either amnesia before or amnesia after; right?
 - Well, it's the post-trauma, so it's after a Α. trauma, so that's -- the post refers to the trauma.
 - Q. Okay. So, let's simplify it and let's talk about amnesia before the incident and then amnesia after the incident; fair enough?
 - Α. Sure.
- 21 So, it's safe to say, in reference to 22 August 5th, 2012, Mrs. Irwin has no amnesia before the 23 impact; correct?
 - Are you speaking retrograde amnesia? Α.
- 25 Q. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. Yeah, I think you're right.
- Q. Okay. But is it your opinion that there's a slight amount of amnesia that follows the impact?
 - A. Correct.

- **Q**. And that's basically the period of time when Mrs. Irwin remembers being hit by the umbrella and then the next memory is her mom, I guess two seconds later, putting ice on her face; right?
- A. I imagine it's a little longer than two seconds, but yes, there's some period of time.
- **Q**. Okay. Well, we can obviously wait and see what Mrs. Connolly's testimony's going to be about that, but that's fine.

Now, in the records there's an indication that, when Mrs. Irwin was in the ambulance, that the EMTs asked her about what her son's birthday was, and she couldn't remember that; do you remember that?

- A. I do.
- **Q**. Okay. And that in fact, Doctor, is not amnesia; it's really just either some confusion or some anxiety that causes someone to forget; would you agree with that?
- A. I think you're right.
- Q. Okay. Because she can remember not remembering, so it's not amnesia; right?

- A. It would be -- I think you're right. You categorize it as confusion. I think you're right.
- Q. Well, or anxiety over the situation; right? Like, for instance, if you're in high school and you've got a big exam and you've got to get your books out of the locker and you're already two minutes late for class and you can't remember the combination because you're nervous and things kind of get out of control; is that right?
- A. Is that after you've had an injury?
- **Q**. To the head, you mean? No, not an injury to the head at all.
 - **A**. Okay.

- **Q**. But just in life in general.
- A. I'm just speaking about this case.
- **Q**. Okay. So, you're not buying in to my example at all, huh?
- **A**. I'm sorry.
 - MR. LAWLER: Okay. All right. We'll move on.

 I'm going to come back to that particular record.

 Would you pull up No. 90, please? Could you highlight it, please?

Okay. Could you go to the top so the Doctor could see that the record is the right day? This is Exhibit 90, Mr. Charnas. Do you want to wait?

MR. CHARNAS: No. Go ahead.

MR. LAWLER: Could you highlight, please, the date so we can see it? It's hard to see.

- Q. Okay. You see the date, it's September 18th, 2014, Cornell Adult Department, New York City Presbyterian; you see that?
- A. I see it.

Q. And down below, if you could highlight the portion you just did earlier, please?

Thank you.

I'll just read it to you. It says, "Patient was getting in to cab, had one leg in door, cab sped away, patient fell backwards hitting back of head, right leg got run over by tire, complains of pain to right leg and headache. Patient in C collar and backboard. Patient says, 'I don't think I passed out.' Patient oriented times three."

MR. LAWLER: And if you could go below that, please.

- **Q**. Okay. Does that refresh your recollection that you saw that record on Tuesday?
- A. I believe so, yes.
- **Q**. Okay. Do you also remember seeing a record where there was a Dr. Ulin that was questioning a concussion? Do you remember seeing that?

A. Not specifically, no.

- **Q**. That's the next record there. Can you take a look at that?
- MR. LAWLER: Would you highlight the top of it, please? Thank you.
- **Q**. Okay. That's a September 26th, 2014, record; right?
- A. Just to clarify, this is -- this is about two years after she was injured in August of 2012 and about six months after I saw her, you understand that; right?
- **Q**. Oh, I understand that. That's what I'm saying, this is -- this was not -- this did not occur when you saw her, but it's occurred since you saw her?
- A. Okay.
- **Q**. Okay. And I'm just really asking you about concussions and headaches and all that, whether you're aware of it because you've been testifying about headaches.
- So, would you agree with me that the diagnosis and pertinent findings is -- and I'll -- it's handwriting, so I'll read it. It looks like, "Diagnosed with a fracture to the right fibula, laceration right foot and concussion."

I read that correctly; right?

A. You did read that correctly. What type of doctor

1 is Dr. Ulin?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. I don't know, sir. I think -- I don't know. I don't know.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. He's a doctor at, I think, New York Presbyterian.

Okay. Now, let me change gears a little bit, and we're going to go back to 2012. Are you aware that there was an MRI taken by East River Imaging, which is dated September 11th, 2012?

- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Okay. And this particular MRI, it revealed -- I've got to read it to make sure I get it right -- "Non-specific peri-ventricular sub-cortical white matter changes;" is that correct, even though I mispronounced the word "sub-cortical"?
- A. That was great.

MR. LAWLER: Could we put that up on the screen, please?

- **Q**. Now, you're familiar with this particular term; correct?
- A. Iam.
- Q. And remember yesterday when you talked about axons, the white matter in someone's brain?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. And essentially, the white matter is made

- up of axons sitting in the center of the brain and connect up to different parts of your brain; right?
 - A. That's correct.

- **Q**. And it's your testimony and your opinion that non-specific peri-ventricular sub-cortical white matter changes are not consistent with someone who has a mild traumatic brain injury; right?
- A. That's not what you would expect from someone who's had a mild traumatic brain injury.
- **Q**. So, it's your testimony that it's not what you would expect, so -- so, you wouldn't expect to see these white matter changes with someone who has a mild traumatic brain injury; correct?
- A. It's the location, the peri-ventricular region, that you wouldn't expect it in.
- **Q**. I'm going to ask that -- I don't think, with all due respect, Doctor, that you answered the question fully.
- A. Okay.
- **Q**. Is it your testimony that non-specific peri-ventricular sub-cortical white matter changes are not consistent with someone who has a mild traumatic brain injury?

THE COURT: Yes or no.

A. I just don't -- I don't know how to -- there seem

to be too many negatives in there for me to answer that question yes or no.

MR. LAWLER: Okay. May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.

Q. Doctor, I'm handing you -- so I don't block the jury.

I'm handing you a deposition of your testimony, which was taken August 1st, 2014, in New York City.

And you remember that deposition; right?

A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. You've been deposed a number of times in various cases; right?
- 13 **A**. I haven't.
- 14 Q. How many times have you been deposed,
 15 approximately?
 - A. In depositions, probably about 30 times.
- 17 **Q**. And obviously before you testify, you're sworn in under oath; right?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Just like you are today; correct? Okay.

What I'm going to do is I'm going to ask you some questions from that particular transcript. I'm going to go back to my podium, and then we'll look at that.

If you could turn, please, to Page 48 -- excuse

me. I'm sorry. It's Page 52. I apologize for that.

And if you could look at Lines 2 -- and I'm going to read the question to you, Doctor.

And the question is: "Well, is this non-specific peri-ventricular sub-cortical white matter changes, is that consistent with someone who has mild traumatic brain injury?"

And, sir, did I read that question correctly?

- A. Much better in here. You read it better here.
- Q. Okay. Are you being a wise guy?

- A. No, no. Just this question's better than the question that you asked me before.
- Q. Okay. And your answer, which is on Line 6, says, No. The peri-ventricular region, you can see changes at the sub-cortical level on a number of different places, not unusually the peri-ventricular regions.

I read that correctly; right?

- A. No. The last line is, Not usually, not usually the peri-ventricular regions.
- **Q**. Okay. And you said, when I asked you specific questions about what this means for Mrs. Irwin, having these white matter changes in the sub-cortical area, that it could be just an incidental finding; right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And explain to the jury what an incidental

finding is.

1

4

5

- A. It's just something that you're born with or that develops but has no clinical significance.
 - **Q.** Right. So, it could be -- I think -- is the term when you're born with something, is that congenital?
 - A. Congenital is the term.
- Q. So, some people can be born with a congenital disorder that's going to produce white matter changes in their brain quicker than the normal population; right?
- 11 **A**. No.
- Q. Okay. Now, would you agree with me, Doctor, that you can get peri-ventricular changes from a disease called multiple sclerosis, MS?
- 15 A. Yes. Yes.
- 16 Q. And you talked about axons yesterday; right?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And what MS does is it attacks axons, it attacks
 the sheath -- you described the sheath on the axons
 yesterday; right?
- 21 A. That's correct, the myelin sheath.
- Q. And so the sheath is called the myelin sheath;right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. And that's actually -- this finding of

- peri-ventricular sub-cortical white matter changes, that's consistent with someone who has early signs of MS; right?
 - **A**. Early signs of MS is a clinical diagnosis, and these are some of the radiologic findings that you can see with that.
 - MR. LAWLER: Okay. Now, if you could pull up Exhibit 34-D, and if you could highlight, please, the top of the document, Cort, please, so we can see what type of document it is and what's the date on it.
 - **Q**. So, this is a progress note from Brian Im, MD, dated 7/28/2015; you see that?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 14 Q. And do you know who Dr. Im is?
- A. Yes, he did his training at the program that I'm the Director of.
 - Q. Okay. And is he a psychiatrist as well?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 **Q**. And is it your understanding that he's
- 20 Mrs. Irwin's psychiatrist at this time?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. So, he's monitoring her health basically; is that the story?
- A. He's taking care of her brain injury and rehabilitation needs.

```
MR. LAWLER: Okay. If you could go down -- and, again -- I'm sorry. Go back to the top again, please.

Thank you. I can't see it.

Q. It's dated July 29th, 2015, just about two months
```

- **Q**. It's dated July 29th, 2015, just about two months ago; right?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

- MR. LAWLER: Okay. If you could go down, please, and highlight the bottom half of that document, please.
- Q. Do you see where it says, "Patient expresses desire for further neuro eval for other potential causes of her symptoms, such as MS;" do you see that?
- A. I see that.
- Q. Did you see that when you looked at the records on Tuesday?
- 16 **A**. I did.
- \mathbf{Q} . You did see that?
- 18 **A**. I did.
- 19 **Q**. Okay. Now, I'm going to switch gears again a little bit here.
 - Yesterday you talked about these DTIs, which are advanced MRIs; right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And really what a DTI is, is it's a regular
 MRI that goes through a lot of processing by

- 1 individuals on a computer; correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. And so, they do all these machinations and somehow come up with this picture; is that right?
- A. It's a computer model. It's actually more numbersthan pictures.
 - Q. Okay. And so, what they do is basically -- and it's relatively new; correct?
 - A. Relative to MRI, yes, it's relatively new.
- 10 **Q**. Okay. I mean, really in the last, you know, ten 11 years or so; right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.

8

9

16

19

20

- Q. And basically what these DTIs do is that they look at MRIs that have been processed, and they compare it against other MRIs of supposedly normal people; right?
 - A. It's an oversimplification, but that's reasonable.
- Q. Okay. That's probably the best you're going to get from me.
 - So -- and getting back to it, I mean, as we age, our white matter changes; right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the -- and as we age, our axons break down; right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- \mathbf{Q} . Now, getting back to the DTIs, it is safe to say

that you, as a medical doctor, are unable to offer an opinion to a medical degree of certainty as to whether or not the DTIs that supposedly depict axonal damage to Mrs. Irwin's brain were due to the August 5th, 2012, concussion or the earlier concussions; is that safe to say?

- A. It's hard to say within a reasonable degree of medical certainty. We know that she had no symptoms from the prior concussions, but it's hard to say for sure.
- **Q**. Well, not only is it not hard to say for sure; you understand, when you testify in a court of law, that you testify when you offer an opinion to a medical degree of certainty; right?
- **A**. Is that the same thing as a reasonable degree of medical certainty?
- Q. I think it is.
- **A**. Okay.

- **Q**. Is that right?
 - A. That's what I believe, yes.
- 21 Q. And what was the term that you just used?
 - A. A reasonable degree of medical certainty.
 - **Q**. Okay. And so, using your term, Doctor, you cannot testify to a reasonable degree of medical certainty that the axonal damage supposedly showed on the DTIs is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

attributable to the August 5th, 2012, event or is due to other prior concussions or other prior events; is that right? I cannot. You're right. Α. MR. LAWLER: Okay. One moment, Your Honor, please. (Pause) I'm fine, Your Honor. Thank you. MR. LAWLER: THE COURT: Any redirect, Mr. Charnas? MR. CHARNAS: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I wonder if we could have that graphic put back up that the defense used with the 1 through 12 of Dr. Greenwald's report, the same graphic. THE COURT: You're asking the wrong person. MR. CHARNAS: Well, I'm not allowed to talk to him directly. Is that okay? THE COURT: Could somebody make that graphic appear on our screens? MR. LAWLER: I will make it appear. You can ask I'll do it. I'm fine with it, Your Honor. me. THE COURT: If you could make that graphic appear, please? Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHARNAS

Dr. Greenwald, do you remember this graphic that

was put up during cross-examination yesterday?

A. I do, yes.

Q. What I'd like do is go through with you each one, and I want you to tell me about the frequency and quality of each symptom before the incident of August 5th, 2012, as compared to after the incident of 2012.

So, let's start with headache. What was the frequency and quality of Mrs. Irwin's complaints of headache before August 5th, 2012?

- A. She said she was not having chronic headaches prior to August 5th, 2012.
- Q. And what about after August 5th, 2012?
- A. She said that the headaches can be very severe and come on three to four times in the last six months.

 She has daily headaches that range from two over ten to an infrequent ten over ten.
- Q. Is ten out of ten -- I guess it doesn't get worse than ten out of ten; correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. There's no 11 out of ten.

Now, let's go to the next one, the dizziness.

What was the quality and frequency of dizziness

complained of by Megan Irwin before August 5th, 2012?

A. She said she was not having chronic dizziness

prior to August 5th, 2012.

- Q. And how about after August 5th, 2012?
- A. That she was having dizziness about three times per week. It was better while she was on the -- when she started Amantadine, which had been started by Dr. Stone, and that also the vestibular therapy that she was getting had also helped to decrease the frequency.
 - **Q**. Let's take a look at No. 3, feeling of being foggy. What was the frequency and quality of her feeling foggy before August 5th, 2012?
 - A. She said she didn't have any chronic symptoms of feeling foggy prior to that.
 - **Q**. Now, you've read medical records which are in evidence about her pre-incident history; correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Do you remember seeing anything in there about her feeling foggy?
- A. No.
 - **Q.** Now, what was her condition in regard to fogginess after August 5th, 2012?
 - A. She talks about being easily overwhelmed by too much reading or cognitive stressors, and she said sometimes the cognitive stressors can be simple things like reading or discussing complex things but then

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sometimes could also just -- she was just trying to find Madison Avenue, and she was very close to her home.

- **Q**. The next one, No. 4, is irritability. What was the frequency and quality of her complaints about irritability prior to August 5th, 2012? And, again, you've seen all the records in evidence pre-incident.
- A. She said she wasn't having chronic irritability prior to August 5th, 2012.
- **Q**. Did you see anything in the pre-incident records about irritability?
- A. I did not see irritability discussed.
- Q. Now, tell the jury, after August 5th, 2012, what was the frequency and quality of her complaints about irritability?
 - A. She talks about that she had a low frustration tolerance for people, including her three children.
 - **Q**. Let's go to No. 5, fatigue/tiredness. What was the frequency and quality of her complaints about fatigue/tiredness before the incident?
 - A. She said that she had not -- was not having chronic fatigue or tiredness prior to the incident.
 - Q. And when you say chronic, what do you mean?
 - A. Meaning that -- on a daily basis. I know there were specific incidences of it in the records, like

when she had that viral infection, but it wasn't something that she was dealing with on a day-to-day basis.

- **Q**. What about the complaints about fatigue and tiredness after that umbrella struck her on the head?
- A. That is continuing since then.

- Q. Difficulty with organization and planning, that's No. 6, what was the frequency and quality of her complaints about difficulty with organization and planning before August 5th, 2012?
- A. She said that organization and planning was one of her strengths, and it was part of the reason that she was so successful in her job was her good organization and planning and that this was a real strain for her after her injury on August 5th, 2012.
- **Q**. And did you see any reference in the pre-incident medical records of difficulty with organization and planning?
- A. Only that one note from the psychologist that's just saying that she was feeling overwhelmed at work at that time, but not that, that it was actually impairing her from doing the work.
- **Q**. Now, let's talk about anxiety, No. 7. What was the frequency and quality of her complaints about anxiety before the umbrella struck her in the head?

- A. She said that she has had anxiety for a long time but that the quality of it changed after August 5th, 2012.
 - **Q**. Have you ever heard the term "situational anxiety"?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. What does situational anxiety mean?
- A. That in certain situations, like testifying in a courtroom, where you might feel anxious but not something that's chronically there for you.
- **Q**. And what was her -- what was the frequency and quality of her complaints about anxiety after the incident?
- A. She said after the incident that she -- she described it as free-floating anxiety, when she feels it just all the time.
- Q. Now, let's look at No. 8, easily overwhelmed.

 Before the incident, is there any evidence in the medical records or anything that you've seen in regard to this case that she was easily overwhelmed before the incident?
- A. I did not see that.
- Q. Now, is there any evidence that she was overwhelmed at times before the incident?
- 25 A. With all the things that she had going on, it was

noted that at times she was overwhelmed.

- **Q.** Now, talk to us, Doctor, about the frequency and quality of her complaints about being easily overwhelmed now, after she was hit in the head by that umbrella.
- A. That she felt that it was -- that it was a low threshold, that it was easy for her to become overwhelmed by smaller things, not like in the old days where she could handle her three children, one with a chronic disability, her challenging husband and a high-stress job.
- **Q**. No. 9, sensitivity to light and noise, were there any complaints before August 5th, 2012, about sensitivity to light and noise that you saw in the record?
- A. I did not see any evidence of that.
- Q. And how has that changed since August 5th, 2012?
- A. It's now a chronic problem for her, as far as sensitivity to light and noise.
- **Q**. No. 10, frustration over not recovering yet, I guess that didn't apply before the incident.

How about difficulty with word-finding? Were there any instances that you saw in the records where she complained of difficulty with word-finding?

A. I did not see that.

Q. So, she was actually a successful salesperson; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And deviated nasal septum and recurring sinus infection, I don't think that's particularly relevant. Thank you, Doctor.

Now, the last visit that Mrs. Irwin made to her primary care physician, Dr. Lash, before the incident, I believe that Mr. Lawler mentioned it, it's Exhibit 25-G -- I'll save you some time.

MR. CHARNAS: May I approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.

Q. This is the record in evidence of July 24th, 2012, which is the last visit that Mrs. Irwin made to Dr. Lash, her primary care physician, before she was struck on the head with the umbrella.

Can you summarize her condition for us at that time?

A. Well, to start with, her chief complaint was that she was just there for a physical exam. She had no specific complaints beyond that.

He reviews basic things, her past family history, her social history, her allergies, but then if you look at her review of systems, so this is just he asked questions about certain things but in different

organ systems, so she had no general complaints. She did have worsening night vision but no other visual complaints like things of light sensitivity or anything of that sort.

Her cardiovascular system, they note a positive for palpitations but specifically with too much stress, too much coffee and not enough sleep or water, not so unusual. No respiratory complaints, no GI complaints, no musculoskeletal complaints, as far as the bones, muscles or nerves.

And really looking beyond that, no neurologic complaints at all, no endocrine complaints, no allergic complaints. And her only complaint with regard to psychiatric issues is, "Not sleeping much-three kids."

So, really nothing significant on review of systems.

Her physical examination was, you know, basically all normal, including her neurologic examination, her musculoskeletal examination. Her eye examination, her ears, nose and throat examination were really all normal.

And the impression was only, "Routine medical exam," and he recommended she take some more Vitamin D and increase her calcium and limit her sun use. And then there's a note about the breast lump and that she

needed a further mammogram and sonogram.

But this is -- so, I think the significance of this is this is July 24th, 2012, you know, a couple of weeks prior to the injury of August 5th, 2012, a very normal examination with no significant complaints.

MR. CHARNAS: Thank you.

May I approach, Judge?

THE COURT: Yes.

Q. Mr. Lawler talked to you a bit about Dr. Ulin. That's the doctor that she went to when her leg was hurt in the taxi accident, fractured.

Do you go to a brain-injury doctor for a fractured leg?

- A. They might refer you to an orthopedist but not the usual place you would go.
- **Q**. You mentioned in your testimony that a negative nystagmus was found -- was not found. That's not a good way of putting it.

At some point, there's a reference to Mrs. Irwin having a negative nystagmus. What is that?

- **A**. Nystagmus is a finding on physical examination of the eyes having jerky movement as they move from left to right.
- **Q**. If a doctor finds that there's no nystagmus at a particular time, does that mean the patient doesn't

have traumatic brain injury?

A. It does not mean that.

- **Q**. And what's the basis of your answer?
- A. My 20 years of education in this and having looked at thousands of patients, because it really refers to only a certain area of the brain that would be affected and specifically looking at the vestibular system.
- **Q**. Earlier you were discussing Mrs. Irwin's prior concussions, and you said that it wasn't significant to you whether or not she had lost consciousness during those prior concussions; do you remember that testimony?
- A. I do recall that.
- **Q**. Can you tell us why it wouldn't be significant to you?
- A. Well, we talked yesterday about how that loss of consciousness is only one component or one possible reason that you'd say someone had, had a concussion, so loss of consciousness in itself is not the important part.

What I'm looking for is, What were the functional consequences afterwards? And we see that, in her case, that she was able to go to school afterwards, after the more recent concussions, that she

was able to host a party afterwards. The loss of consciousness itself is not a significant finding. Now, Doctor, let's change gears for a second. I'm going to show you Exhibit 35-A, which is a record dated -- sorry -- August 11th, 2014. It's Exhibit 35-A. MR. CHARNAS: May I approach, Judge?

THE COURT: Yes.

- Q. Now, Doctor, that's a record from Mrs. Irwin's headache doctor, Dr. Myrna Cardiel; do you see that?
- Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, this is August, 2014. The taxi incident was September 18th, 2014.

Does Dr. Cardiel, the headache doctor, discuss in August, 2014, the frequency and quality of Mrs. Irwin's headaches in that record?

- Α. Yes.
- And could you tell the jury, what are Mrs. Irwin's complaints about headache the month before the incident?
- Here, towards the bottom of this page, she talks about -- the headaches are described as three different types, the more common as the sensitivity of the scalp -- on her scalp, all over her head and neck; the other is described as a sharp stabbing headache on the

top of her head, these headaches are brief but very severe; the last headache type is described as frontotemporal, worse on the right, associated with nausea, the pain is throbbing in nature.

Naproxen helps all three different headache types. However, she is constantly having to take it. She asks for help, remedy with her headaches. They occur randomly throughout the day. Common triggers are bright noisy places, and participating in therapy also may be a trigger.

MR. CHARNAS: Thank you.

May I approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CHARNAS: Thank you.

- **Q.** Now, Doctor, these non-specific peri-ventricular sub-cortical white matter changes -- I haven't had a chance to practice -- does that have anything to do with this traumatic brain injury?
- A. No.

- **Q**. Now, do you think Mrs. Irwin was born with traumatic brain injury?
- A. Absolutely not.
- **Q**. Do you think, when that umbrella smashed her in the head, that she suddenly developed multiple sclerosis?

1 MR. LAWLER: Objection. It's leading.

THE COURT: Could you rephrase the question, please.

- **Q**. Do you think multiple sclerosis can be caused by an umbrella strike to the head?
- A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- **Q**. Do you have an opinion as to whether Mrs. Irwin has multiple sclerosis?
 - MR. LAWLER: Objection. Lack of foundation.
 - MR. CHARNAS: Let me rephrase it.
- **Q**. Doctor, in the course of your practice, your education, training and experience as a physician, do you have occasion to determine whether or not people have multiple sclerosis?
- A. I actually have a book chapter about this topic specifically.
- 17 **Q**. I'm sorry?
- 18 A. I have a book chapter about this topic19 specifically.
- 20 **Q**. And what's the -- what book is the chapter in?
- A. It's in "Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Reviews."
 - Q. And do you know what the name of the chapter is?
- 24 A. "Multiple Sclerosis."
- 25 Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to whether

Mrs. Irwin has multiple sclerosis?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I have no reason to think that Ms. Irwin has multiple sclerosis.
- **Q**. And please tell the jury the complete basis of your answer.
- A. The type of symptoms that you'd expect to see in someone who has multiple sclerosis, the visual changes, the sensory changes, the motor changes, are completely inconsistent with the issues that Ms. Irwin has. Plus, I wouldn't expect them to start suddenly after being hit in the head.
- MR. CHARNAS: Your Honor, that's all I have.
 Thank you.

THE COURT: Any recross, Mr. Lawler?

MR. LAWLER: I do, Your Honor, thank you.

You can put that up too. Thank you.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION MR. LAWLER

- **Q**. Doctor, looking at this list of 12 items, remember you left the stand yesterday at 4:00?
- A. I remember that.
- Q. Okay. Between now and when you left the stand at 4:00, did you speak to Attorney Charnas regarding this list of 12?
 - A. No.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now, if you could look at the first one,

the headaches, and focus on that? 1 2 MR. LAWLER: Could we have Exhibit 35-B? 3 Okay. And if you could please, Cort, just focus 4 on the top of the document. 5 Q. And the date on this is --MR. LAWLER: Can you focus? I can't see it. 6 Can you focus on the top right corner? 7 8 Thank you very much. 9 Q. The date on this is September 29th, 2014; is that 10 right? 11 Α. That's correct. 12 Okay. And if you could go down the list, please. Q. 13 MR. LAWLER: Could you go up a little bit, 14 please? 15 Can you focus on the top -- I'm sorry. The top 16 of the document. That's fine, the bottom, and then 17 highlight it. 18 Okay. Do you see there where it talks about 19 "Interval History"? Do you see that in this --20 Α. Yes. 21 It's almost three quarters of the way down on the 22 page? You see that, Doctor? Α. 23 Yes. 24 Okay. And this is a record from Dr. -- is it NYU Q.

Hospital Center? Do you see where it says that, "She

- tells me she was hit by a taxi several weeks ago, and since then, her headaches have worsened"?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. You agree with me that's what it says?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, in regard to dizziness, would it surprise you
 that someone who is dizzy constantly wears high heels
 when they travel about? Would that surprise you?
 - A. If they just feel dizzy? No, it wouldn't surprise me.
 - **Q**. Okay. You don't think that would make someone who feels dizzy more unsteady on their feet, when they wear high heels?
 - A. I think it's difficult to wear high heels, but fashion seems to win out.
 - Q. Okay. Now, you talked about --
 - MR. LAWLER: If we can go back to that list of 12, please, again? Thank you.
 - **Q**. You talked about feeling foggy, and I think you mentioned that Mrs. Irwin told you that sometimes she gets confused when she's in her own neighborhood; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you mentioned something about

 Madison -- what did you say about Madison, Madison

Avenue?

1

4

5

- A. Yeah, that she had difficulty finding MadisonAvenue, which should otherwise be easy for her to find.
 - **Q**. Okay. Do you understand that Mrs. Irwin -- you probably know New York City a lot better than I do.
 - A. I'm sure.
- 7 **Q**. You're from, what, Brooklyn?
- 8 A. But then I worked in New York City for about ten 9 years.
- 10 **Q**. Okay. But Mrs. Irwin lives in the upper east side; right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. And she lives in between Park Avenue and Madison Avenue; are you aware of that?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So, she basically told you that somehow she gets confused finding Madison Avenue, which is less than one block away from her house?
- A. She gave it as an example, that she's had difficulties like that.
- Q. Okay. Well, when you saw Mrs. Irwin, tell the jury where you saw her.
- 23 A. I saw her in my office in New Jersey.
- 24 Q. Okay. And how did Mrs. Irwin get there?
- 25 A. She had come by taxi.

- 1 Q. Okay. And so, did you ask her that, how she got there, and she told you she got there by taxi?
 - A. Correct.

3

8

- Q. Okay. Are you also aware that Mrs. Irwin has
 traveled to, for instance, she traveled to see
- 6 Dr. Benson; right?
- 7 A. Correct.
 - **Q**. Are you aware of that?
- 9 A. Iam.
- 10 **Q**. Okay. And are you aware that Dr. Benson is 11 situated in a suburb of Detroit, Michigan?
- 12 A. I'm aware of that.
- Q. Okay. And are you aware that Mrs. Irwin traveled
 by herself via airplane and other public transportation
 or whatever to Detroit, Michigan? Are you aware of
 that?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And are you aware that then she met with

 Dr. Benson and then stayed in a hotel somewhere in the

 Detroit area? Are you aware of that?
 - A. I don't know the specifics of her travel there.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware that, on one day she saw
 Dr. Benson and then on the subsequent day, the next
 day, she went to Harper Hospital to actually obtain the
 MRI?

- A. I don't know the specifics of her travel there.
- Q. Okay. But you'd agree with me that the travel -a person who travels from New York City to Detroit and
 then goes to two places in Detroit is a whole lot more
 difficult than traveling one block away from your home;
- 6 would you agree with that?
 - A. You're right.
 - **Q**. Okay. You'd agree with me that -- you're familiar with the term "executive functioning;" right?
- **A**. I am.

- **Q**. I mean, that's a term that's found in psychology; 12 right?
- **A**. It is.
 - Q. Okay. So, executive functioning is basically how you get through the day; right? You have to make decisions about, for instance, you know, if you're in high school, you've, you know, got make sure you set your alarm clock and you get up, and then -- so, you go down and have your breakfast, and then you go and get the bus to school, I mean, that's executive functioning -- right? -- figuring out how to get through life; right?
 - A. Those are some of the components.
 - **Q**. Okay. And would you agree with me that it takes executive functioning for someone to travel from

New York City to La Guardia Airport to an airport in Detroit and then to a doctor in a suburb of Detroit and then to a hotel and then the next day to Harper Hospital to get an MRI? Would you agree with me that, that takes executive functioning to figure all that out?

- A. Executive functioning or some sort of compensatory mechanisms to do that, one of those two.
- **Q**. Now, let's talk about fatigue. You said you wrote a book about fatigue or a chapter about fatigue?
- A. A whole book. I edited a book. I have a chapter in my own book.
 - **Q**. Okay. You're familiar with the term "somatic;" is that right? Somatic, is that the right pronunciation?
- A. Correct.

- 16 Q. Okay. Tell the jury what somatic means.
- A. As a result of psychological and brain-related issues, that it expresses itself as body-related issues.
 - **Q**. Okay. So, would the use of "somatic," would that mean, for instance, depression has some somatic symptoms?
 - MR. CHARNAS: Objection, Your Honor. Beyond the scope.

THE COURT: Overruled.

1 MR. LAWLER: Okay. I'll ask the question again.

- **Q**. When you say -- use the word "somatic," for instance, if I say someone has depression, somatic, as applied to the symptoms would be the symptoms related to depression; is that right?
- A. Not exactly, but --
- **Q**. Well, let's --

- A. Do you want me to explain more exactly?
- **Q**. What is it exactly?
- A. So, it can -- when someone has depression, some of their bodily symptoms that may be there can be exacerbated or worsened.
 - **Q**. Okay. So, if someone has depression -- and the somatic complaints of depression, for instance, are fatigue; right? That's one of them; right?
 - A. Depression can cause fatigue.
 - **Q**. Okay. So, you are aware -- again, you know, I know we've gone over this several times. I'm going to try to do it quickly.

You're aware that Dr. Sontz diagnosed

Megan Irwin with adjustment disorder with mood and
anxiety components; right?

- A. Correct.
- **Q**. And those mood and -- the mood disorder translate in to somatic complaints of fatigue; correct?

- **A**. Well, we talked about adjustment disorder, not depression.
- **Q.** No adjustment disorder, but Mrs. Irwin was diagnosed under DSM-V -- I thought we went over that yesterday -- with anxiety and mood disorders; right?
- A. We specifically discussed that adjustment disorder is temporary, meaning that it generally lasts three to six months. So, at the point -- beyond the three to six months, all those things would expire.
- **Q**. Okay. But they didn't expire in her case because she just discontinued treatment with Dr. Sontz; right?
- A. I can't say that for sure.

MR. LAWLER: Could we pop up --

- **Q**. I think you said she didn't have a whole lot of fatigue prior to --
- MR. LAWLER: This is 40-B, please. Thank you. Sorry about that. Can you go to the next page, please? And then the next page. Okay. How about the next page? I'm sorry about this.
- **Q**. This particular record, if you can look at it, it's dated 7/28/2006; right? Do you see that in front of you on the screen?
- A. I do.

Q. Are you looking at the document in front of you?
You look like you're --

- A. Yes, I'm just looking -- I hadn't had a chance to look at that, so I wanted to -- sometimes you don't bring up everything about a record. I just wanted to read my own thoughts about it.
- Q. Oh, go ahead. I didn't mean to do that.
- A. Okay.

Q. Now, that's a record that is dated in 2006, July 28th, and it's complaints by Mrs. Irwin claiming fatigue and bruising easily, feels cold all the time, and then there's a notation that anemia runs in the family, has not been ruled out.

So, have you seen this particular record before?

- A. I have. It's in my report.
- **Q**. Okay. So, would you agree with me that there were several notations of Mrs. Irwin suffering from fatigue, both hospital records, psychological records, doctors' records, prior to August 5th, 2012?
- A. I would not agree to that.
- MR. LAWLER: Okay, that's fine. And that's all I have. Thank you very much.
- MR. CHARNAS: Just a few follow-up, Judge, very quickly.
 - MR. LAWLER: Your Honor, is this allowed?

THE COURT: We did not discuss it. I am not a big fan of this, of re-redirect and re-recross. I'll

give you, like, two minutes.

MR. CHARNAS: I don't even need that, Judge.

MR. LAWLER: I will have no questions,

Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

RE-REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHARNAS

- Q. Madison Avenue is a pretty main thoroughfare in New York. isn't it?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Did Mrs. Irwin ever tell you she couldn't find Madison Avenue from her apartment?
 - A. She said she was having difficulty finding
 Madison Avenue from where she was, which wasn't far
 away from where she was.
 - **Q**. But did she tell you that she couldn't find it from her apartment?
 - A. We didn't talk about that specifically.
- **Q**. Do you know whether, when Mrs. Irwin arrived in Detroit to see Dr. Benson, do you know whether a car picked her up?
- A. I don't know any of the details of her trip there.
- Q. So, you don't know whether a car picked her up to take her to anywhere when she was in Detroit; is that fair?
 - A. That's correct.
- MR. CHARNAS: Thank you. That's all I have,

```
1
      Judge.
 2
              THE COURT: Dr. Greenwald, you're excused.
 3
      Thank you.
 4
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
 5
              THE COURT: Would it make sense to give them
 6
      their morning break now, or would you prefer to start
 7
      with the --
 8
              MR. LAWLER:
                           I think it probably would.
                                                        I think
9
      that's a good idea.
10
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
11
              MR. LAWLER:
                           That would give me a chance to set
12
      up.
13
              THE COURT: So, while we're swapping witnesses,
14
      we're going to give you your morning break, about
      15 minutes.
15
16
              (The jury is not present for the following)
17
              MR. LAWLER:
                           Can we just eliminate the
18
      re-redirect at this point onward?
19
              THE COURT:
                          Mr. Charnas, I am not a fan of
20
      re-redirect, that you probably anticipated that move
21
      very quickly to the podium, and unless it's related to
22
      extenuating circumstances, then I'd like to talk about
23
      it before we do it.
24
              MR. CHARNAS: Very well, Judge.
25
              (Recess, 11:09 a.m.)
```

(Resumes, 11:25 a.m.) 1 (The jury is present for the following) 2 3 THE COURT: Brian Greenwald's report marked Exhibit 151. 4 5 (Exhibit No. 151 was admitted in full) THE COURT: We're resuming the cross-examination 6 7 of Dr. Hibbard today, which we started yesterday and 8 we'll finish today. 9 Dr. Hibbard, you're still under oath. 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 11 CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LAWLER 12 Good morning, Dr. Hibbard. Q. 13 Α. Good morning. 14 Q. I know we started yesterday, and I may have jumped around a little bit, but if at any time I confuse you 15 16 insofar as time periods and things like that, just let 17 me know, and I'll make sure I rephrase the question. 18 Now, Doctor, you saw Mrs. Irwin on three 19 different occasions in 2014, March 20th, March 26th and 20 April 1st; is that correct? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 Q. Now -- and your office is located at 240 East 36th 23 Street in New York City; is that right? 24 Α. 2 -- would you repeat that? That's fine. 25 Q. Sure.

Your office is located on 240 East 36th Street in New York City; right?

A. Incorrect.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Okay. Where is your office located?
- A. My office has changed in the last several years, somewhere in -- I don't know if it was 2012 that we moved from 34th Street. NYU Medical Center moved, Rusk Institute, from 34th Street to 38th Street.

The new address is 240 East 38th Street.

- Q. So, I was wrong. It's not 240 East 36th; it's 240 East 38th; is that right?
- A. 240 East 38th is correct. That's current.
- **Q**. Okay. And --
- A. Well, it's no longer current. It was. I have subsequently retired from my position there, and I am now in private practice.
 - **Q**. Right, okay. So -- well, let's talk about that for a second.

When did you retire from that position and enter private practice?

- A. From my most recent position?
- 22 **Q**. Yes.
- A. My position at NYU as the Director of Psychology
 Services, I stepped down in December of 2012.
- Q. Okay. And since December, 2012, you've been in

private practice; is that right?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Sorry. 2014. And I've been in private practice for the last two years.
 - **Q**. Okay. And are you working full time now, or are you working part-time?
 - A. I am working part-time.
 - **Q**. Okay. And is it safe to say that about 50 percent of your part-time work is involved in medical/legal cases?
- 10 A. Yes, but my part-time work is very part-time at this point.
- 12 Q. Okay. What, about three days week; is that right?
- 13 A. No. About two days a month is my current goal.
- 14 **Q**. So, you're really moving in to full retirement, then?
 - A. I am planning it very carefully, trying to.
 - **Q**. Got it, okay.
 - So, I guess the question that I want to ask is:
 Tell the jury where your office was located when
 Mrs. Irwin came to see you in March and April of 2014.
 - A. I have to look at the original bill, and I don't have it here with me. I don't have the bill with me. It's in a folder in -- back there, so I can't -- I would -- I believe I was at my 38th Street office then --

Q. Okay.

1

4

5

17

18

19

20

- 2 A. -- which was part of the Outpatient Department,
- 3 Department of Rehabilitation Medicine.
 - Q. And is that located on a floor in that particular building?
- 6 **A**. 15th floor.
- 7 **Q**. 15th?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 **Q**. And if I went there today and I wanted to go up on the 15th floor, could I just walk in and take the elevator to the 15th floor, or do you have to go through security?
- A. Pretty much you can just walk in because it is a huge outpatient conglomerate for multiple medical disabilities and services, so there's thousands of patients coming through the place every day.
 - **Q**. So, when Mrs. Irwin came for her interview and then the testing on those three occasions, you asked her how she got there; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And Mrs. Irwin told you that she took public transportation to get to your office; is that right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, did you ask Mrs. Irwin what type of public transportation she took to get to your office on those

three occasions?

- A. I didn't explicitly ask what she took those days, but she was taking a combination of either a bus or a subway.
- **Q**. Okay. So, we talked about executive function and working memory and things like that yesterday; do you remember that?
- A. Yes. I do.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree with me -- and, by the way, Mrs. Irwin, she always arrived on time for the appointments with you; right?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. And she also arrived alone; right?
- A. That's correct.
 - **Q**. Okay. So, would you agree with me, for someone to leave their apartment in the upper east side of New York City and travel by bus or subway to your office and find their way to the 15th floor of your office and get there in a timely manner, that, that would require executive functioning?
 - A. It would be if it was the first time she had ever been at the facility. She was already coming to NYU for vestibular work and would come once a week or more frequently. So, she knew the facility, she had practiced multiple times before I saw her the route

there, and so this was a continuation of a practiced 2 skill.

- Q. Okay. Well, in regard to that hypothetical that I just gave you, would you agree with me that, if I go to one location in the upper side -- east side of New York City to your particular office, if I go on one occasion and then I go back on the second and third occasion or fourth occasion, that, that requires working memory because I learned it the first time, and now I remember it the second time, the third time and the fourth time; would you agree with that, that requires working memory?
- Her testing would suggest that repetition helps her, so --
- Q. Doctor, if you could just answer my question, we're going to get through this a lot quicker. Would you agree with me that, that scenario I gave you requires working memory? Yes or no.
- Α. A component, yes.
- Now -- and would you agree with me -- you Q. understand that -- well, you saw her last on April 1st, 2014; correct?
 - Α. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And you realize that she went to see -- she, I'm sorry, I apologize for that -- Mrs. Irwin went to see Dr. Benson on April 4th, 2014; correct?

- A. I did not know if I was privy to that on the date.

 I don't remember whether she said that or not specifically.
- **Q**. Okay. I'm going to represent to you that there's records and information that indicates that Mrs. Irwin traveled from New York City to Detroit, Michigan, on April 4th, 2014, just a few days after she saw you; okay?

Now, would you agree with me that, for someone to go from their apartment in upper east side of New York City to the airport and then fly to Detroit and then go see a doctor in a suburb of Detroit one day and then go to a hospital in inner city Detroit the next day, that, that would require executive functioning on her part; is that right?

- A. Either executive functioning or a lot of written instructions. I can't tell the difference.
- **Q**. Okay. Well, we're going to come back to executive functioning, but just quickly, executive functioning is how we all get through the day; right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. It's how we get to school on time or work on time, how we wake up in the morning, make decisions about where we go to lunch, where we go to dinner, what kind

of shopping we have to do for our kids, things like that; right?

A. That's correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. Now, I didn't ask you this yesterday in regard to your qualifications, but you would agree with me that you are not a neurologist; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. You are not a medical doctor; correct?
- A. That's correct.
- 10 **Q**. You cannot prescribe medications; correct?
- 11 A. Not in New York State, you cannot.
- 12 **Q**. Okay. Well, that's where you practice; right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you cannot perform a neurologic exam; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. What I want to do is I'm going to travel through your report that's dated April 24th, 2014, and I know we did a little bit of that yesterday, but I kind of want to go through some of it because we were a little hurried by the time.

Could you turn to Page 3 of your report, the first full paragraph, third sentence from the bottom, and I'll read it and see if you can locate it. It says, "She held her position at Shire Pharmaceuticals

- 1 for approximately eight years; " is that correct?
- 2 A. That's what she reported.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware that Mrs. Irwin only worked for Shire for six years, from 2006 to 2012? Are you
- 5 aware of that?
- 6 A. I did not ask for work records, no.
- Q. Okay. So, you never saw any work records at all related to Mrs. Irwin?
- 9 A. I have not, no.
- 10 **Q**. And the last sentence of that, "She sought" -- I'm 11 going to read it. "She," being Mrs. Irwin; correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. "She sought psychological counseling approximatelyone year prior to her accident to address
- 15 adjustment" --
- 16 A. Where are you now?
- 17 **Q**. I'm sorry?
- A. Where are you now? I want to make sure I'm on the same paragraph.
- 20 Q. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Same page, Page 3.
- 21 A. Two paragraphs down, I see.
- Q. No. Last two sentences of the page. And I'm going to read it, and then we're going to talk about it a little bit.
- It says, "She sought psychological counseling

approximately one year prior to her accident to address adjustment issues in her marriage and her concerns about her husband's continued drinking problem."

Now, you talked a little bit about that yesterday, but when you say, "She sought psychological counseling," that was from Dr. Sontz; right?

A. That's correct.

- **Q**. And, as we discussed yesterday, Dr. Sontz described -- or diagnosed her as suffering from an adjustment disorder with anxiety and mood components; right?
- A. That's correct.

MR. LAWLER: Okay. If we could bring up 41-A, please. If you could go to the next page, and then the next page, and then the next page. If you could highlight the first -- thank you.

- **Q.** Now, as we discussed yesterday, and I'll just get over it briefly, Axis 1 is 309.28, and that is adjustment disorder with anxiety and mood components; correct?
- A. That's correct.
- **Q**. And tell the ladies and gentlemen what Axis 1 means.
- A. Axis 1 is your primary psychological diagnosis.

 It's a five-tier axis that psychiatrists and

1 psychologists use.

5

8

- 2 **Q**. Okay. And what's Axis 2?
- A. Axis 2 are personality variables that mightattribute to the picture. Axis --
 - Q. Okay. And then Axis 3 is what?
- A. Axis 3 are medical conditions that might impact
 what the presenting problem is.
 - **Q**. Okay. And Axis 4 is what?
- 9 A. The compounding psycho-social issues that may be integral to the problem being presented for therapy.
 - \mathbf{Q} . Okay. And Axis 5 is what?
- 12 A. Axis 5 is based on a scale of 100. It's
- generalized -- something -- functioning, GAF,
- 14 generalized adjustment functioning? I don't remember
- what the A stands for at this moment. And the higher
- the score, the most functional the person; the lower
- score, the least functional the person.
- 18 **Q**. Okay. So, that puts her a little bit above what I guess the average would be; right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. A patient that's receiving psychological
- 22 treatment; right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. Okay. So, getting back to that last sentence on
- Page 3, you mention adjustment issues in her marriage

and concerns about her husband's continued drinking problem but no mention about the medical issues, the pelvic damage, the pelvic separation; right?

- A. That's correct.
- **Q**. Why is that?

- A. Because she was primarily focused on the marital relationship at the point that the accident occurred, and that was what she was presenting as issues. Her pelvic damage was a past issue, significant albeit, but it was related to the birth of her youngest child, which the child was now three or two.
- **Q**. So, you're interpreting basically what's the most important thing for Dr. Sontz; is that what you're doing?
- A. You're asking me to interpret why I think that's written there, and I gave you my interpretation. I did not -- I in no way am interpreting Dr. Sontz.
- **Q**. Right, okay. But, in any event, would you agree with me that you left the medical issues out when you completed this report; right?
- A. They did not seem relevant or pertinent to her current diagnosis.
- **Q.** Now, 309.28, adjustment disorder with anxiety and mood, that mood basically means that the patient is having symptoms of depression; correct?

A. It does.

- **Q.** I mean, that's what we -- we read that yesterday, that the components of that particular diagnosis concern anxiety and depression; right?
 - A. Yes, but both anxiety and depression have a range.
 - **Q**. There's no question in front of you, Doctor. Thank you.
 - MR. CHARNAS: Could she finish her answer, please?
 - THE COURT: Are you waiting for me? I think she did answer the question.

THE WITNESS: I did. I did.

THE COURT: She finished, answered the question.

MR. LAWLER: Oh, thank you.

- **Q**. And there's a word that I know you use and other doctors use it, it's "somatic;" right? -- "somatic," s-o-m-a-
- A. Yes, I know the word "somatic." In what context are we talking about somatic?
- **Q**. Okay. Well, I want you to explain what the definition of somatic is.
- A. Somatic is a more physical manifestation of an illness or a problem. There are intra-psychic, and there are somatic manifestations of, for example, depression.

- Q. Okay. So, basically somatic means that there are physical manifestations of some particular ailment; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - **Q.** And you would agree with me that the somatic symptoms of depression are fatigue; correct?
 - A. Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

- Q. Sleep problems; correct?
- A. Correct.
- 10 **Q**. Concentration problems; correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- Q. So, all those particular problems are tied in to someone who's suffering from depression; correct?
- 14 A. To a greater or lesser degree potentially, yes;
 15 not necessarily all.
 - **Q**. Turn to Page 4, and it's the first full paragraph. Now, in that particular paragraph, you're finding out information from Mrs. Irwin that pertains to prior concussions; right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And Mrs. Irwin reported to you that her first concussion occurred around age 14 to 15 during a field hockey game in high school, that was your understanding; right?
- 25 A. That was, as reported by the patient.

- **Q**. And in that particular situation when she was describing that particular incident, she denied that she suffered a loss of consciousness in that particular incident; correct?
- A. As recorded by the patient, yes.
- **Q.** Okay. Would you be surprised to learn that the medical records and other testimony in the case indicates that she suffered a loss of consciousness in that particular incident?
 - A. I am not sure -- I don't -- would that be a surprise? Not particularly, but it's certainly possible.
 - **Q**. Okay. Then she reported to you -- it's continuous in that particular paragraph -- she said that she reported a second concussion while playing field hockey during an away game in East Bridgewater, Massachusetts, for her high school, that was your understanding; right?
- A. Correct.

- 20 Q. Reported to you by the patient, Mrs. Irwin; right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. And she denied a loss of consciousness in that particular situation?
 - A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Would it surprise you to learn that, again,

there's going to be testimony in regard to that and evidence in regard to that, that in fact she did suffer a loss of consciousness when she was struck by one of those hard field hockey balls in her head?

- A. Without the specific content, I can say the most important thing about loss of consciousness is, Is it present and what was the duration? So, a blanket yes, loss of consciousness, tells me very little.
- **Q**. Okay. And then you report that Mrs. Irwin sustained another concussion in 2008 when she hit her head on a basement pipe in a restaurant; correct?
- A. Correct.

- **Q**. And then, finally, in regard to that paragraph, she reported that she suffered a fourth possible concussion that occurred in 2010 when she accidentally hit her head on a low-hanging basement pipe in her parents' home; right?
- A. Correct.
- **Q.** Now, are you also aware of another concussion that occurred in September, 2014, when Mrs. Irwin was leaving a taxi?
- A. September, 2014, was after I saw the patient.
- **Q**. Right.
 - A. I did note that there was a note about that in a report that I reviewed, but I did not know that at the

time of writing this report.

- **Q.** No. I understand that. Obviously, it's subsequent to your -- and I don't mean to -- the date of your report is April 24th, 2014. The date of the taxi accident, for lack of a better phrase, is sometime in September, 2014.
- So, I know you didn't know of that information, obviously, when you met with Mrs. Irwin because you haven't met her since, have you?
- A. I have not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

- Q. That was the first and only time you met with her; right?
- A. That's correct.
- **Q**. But you have reviewed records in preparation of your trial testimony; right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. What was your understanding of the incident by the taxi that occurred in September, 2014?
 - A. I have very little on that. It was just a notation, and it was a possible hit her head, wasn't sure.
 - Q. She wasn't sure whether she hit her head?
- A. No. It was a "Questionable loss of consciousness, hit her head in a taxi."
- 25 Q. Okay. I'm just trying to clarify it. I

understand what you said about loss of consciousness.

Is it your understanding it's unclear as to whether or not she struck her head?

- A. It says, "Hit her head." I don't know what that means. It was a very short note from a neurologist or an acupuncturist. I'm not quite sure who it was at this moment. It was just part of a history that was taken about her prior history of concussions.
- **Q**. You didn't see the emergency room record that pertained to --
- A. I did not, no.

- Q. Well, didn't you get a whole stack of records?
- A. I got the most current records to -- my request was to get current records so that I could see if there had been significant improvement or change in Megan over this period of time.
- **Q**. So, tell us what records you've received since April 24 -- excuse me -- April 1st, 2014. Do you have a list of those?
- A. I just have a few notes on the -- I skimmed a few of them. I didn't really need -- to answer my question of whether, from a functional point of view, was she doing better, I really needed updated physiatry reports, so I have an updated series of reports from Dr. Im.

I've also reviewed Dr. Halpern who is the 1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

specialist that she's now seeing for continued migraines and chronic headaches and the treatment she's receiving there. And there was a report from, I think it's an acupuncturist, giving a small very brief history about the patient, including this, "? hit her head," and that's all I know about it.

- Well, were you aware that Mrs. Irwin, in hospital records, indicated that headaches became much more severe after the taxi incident of September, 2014? Were you aware of that?
- I'm telling you what I have read. That was not part of my information, so I can't --
- Q. But wouldn't you think that would be significant information before you offer an opinion as to Mrs. Irwin as to what the nature of her current situation is to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury?
- I've been asked to render my impressions at the time I saw the patient, and these are my impressions. Reviewing reports are to give me an insight in to whether there were significant improvement or stability.

I think it would be very difficult, from what I've read from her reports, for Megan to even have a qualitative difference in her headaches because they

were so pervasive to begin with, and her migraines were so pervasive, according to updated reports that I reviewed.

- **Q**. Okay. So, I get it now. Your opinions really only pertain to the period of April 2nd, 2014? They don't carry the day up until now; is that correct?
- MR. CHARNAS: Objection. That's not what she said.

THE COURT: Well, she can answer the question and clarify what she said.

- A. Would you rephrase the question?
- **Q**. Sure. Is it safe to say that you basically said just a few moments ago on cross-examination that your opinion really pertains to the period ending around the beginning of April, 2014, when you last saw Mrs. Irwin?
- A. My opinions are based on my interview and my testing of the patient in 2014, yes.
- **Q**. Okay. So --

- A. Those are the most updated that I have that I'm personally involved in.
- **Q**. So, for instance, your opinion doesn't take in to account whether or not, since April of 2014, Mrs. Irwin has gotten better or worse; correct?
- A. I can't, in 2014, predict where she'll be in 2015.
- Q. Okay. All right. Well, let's stay with that

point and talk about word-finding problems.

Did you determine during the course of your evaluations whether or not Mrs. Irwin had word-finding problems?

A. In my opinion, the answer was not -- she did not have word-finding problems. She could name information on testing performance in the -- let me just be sure I've got this right -- was in the average range, so she had average performance on classic naming tasks.

What I did notice and did notice in my behavioral observations of Megan was that she was totally disorganized in the way she presented information. That's different than a naming problem.

Naming problem is, "This is called a -- I don't know what it's called, but you can put liquid in it." The person can describe the functioning of the activity but can't remember that it's called a cup. That's just an example.

She often talked in convoluted sentences. By the time she finished a sentence, she couldn't figure out where she had started the sentence from. That's behaviorally suggestive of executive dysfunctions. She's having trouble planning what she's going to say, organizing it and then delivering it.

Q. Now, when you, just a few moments ago, used the

word "average," and you said she was average in regard to word-finding situations; is that right?

A. She was average on her Boston Naming Test.

- Q. When you say average, what does that mean, average? Is that a percentile?
- A. Average is a classification for a range of percentages for intellectual abilities. There is a normal bell curve of performance, and it starts at the very end on one end with impairment, borderline impairment, low average impairment, average functioning, high average functioning, superior and then very superior.

So, there are categories of classifications of performance relative -- and these performance scores that are reported in my report are based on norms for Megan in her own age group and where she ranks relative to the rest of the people in her age group and education group.

- Q. Okay. And staying with that, so does average -- and does that equate or correlate to IQ as well, those same --
- A. Those terminologies are also used for IQ scores.

 That is the classification. And so that an average IQ would be between the 25th percentile and the 74th percentile.

Q. Okay.

- A. That's the widest range of IQ, and that is the range that I use to determine that someone has an average performance on X test and, in this case, the Boston Naming.
 - **Q**. Okay. So, if someone -- if you say someone is average on a particular test, they can either fall in the 25th percentile, the 50th percentile or the 75th percentile or anywhere between them?
 - A. 74th percentile is the cut.
 - Q. 74th, okay. So, let me ask it again. So, if someone's in normal range, they're basically in between 25 and 74?
- A. That's correct. That is the normal range of variability that's expected.
 - **Q**. Okay. And so you don't characterize someone like, for instance, low average or average average or high average?
 - **A**. That's a department of redundancy. There is a low average classification, and that one goes from the 9th percentile to the 24th percentile. The further out on this bell curve, the labels get a little bit more distinct.
- **Q**. Okay. Now, in regard to word-finding problems -- okay. Let me ask you this question: Do you think the

ladies and the gentlemen of this jury are able to pick up word-finding problems if someone testifies in front of them for several hours?

A. No.

- Q. No?
- A. No, unless you're looking for it. You just listen to the person. That requires focused, "I need to be looking for word-finding problems." People don't look for that when they're listening to content, the average person on the jury or just in general.
- Q. Well, either in general or in a courtroom, if you're listening to a witness and the witness is articulate and appears to be able to understand questions and then answers the questions, for instance, are they capable of assessing whether someone can do that in a --
- A. I think the word "assessing" is the thing I'm objecting to. My role was to assess that issue and did not find it. What I did find was disorganization in the way she presented things.
- **Q**. Okay.
- A. I think that may be something that's easier to observe from the jury box than it would be word-finding problems.
- Q. Okay, back to your report, please.

Let's go down to -- well, let's go to Page 5, and it's the second to last paragraph, third sentence, "She reports ongoing sensitivity to bright lights and loud sounds, with both making her headaches worse."

I read that correctly; right?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- **Q**. Okay. So, sensitivity to bright light is called photophobia; is that right?
- A. No. Photosensitivity.
- 10 **Q**. Photosensitivity. And then to loud sounds is phonosensitivity?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, in regard to sensitivity to bright light, did you explore that with Mrs. Irwin?
 - A. She volunteered, "Could you turn down the lights a little bit?"
 - **Q**. Okay. Did she say to you that the sunlight also causes her problems as well?
 - A. She just said any bright light. She didn't specify sunlight versus neon lights. I don't know. She didn't say.
 - **Q**. Okay. Well, would it surprise you if someone -- we're not talking about Mrs. Irwin -- someone who had photophobia and they spent long periods of time at the beach in bright sunlight, would that surprise you?

- A. No, because many people wear very dark sunglasses and hats to prevent the sun glare. There are ways to compensate for that. It may not make it most convenient for her or comfortable, but there are ways to work around that.
- Q. Okay. And in regard to other questions, now, let's turn to Page 6, and I want to draw your attention to the third paragraph where it starts off with, "Mrs. Irwin reports additional;" do you see that?
- A. Yes, I do.

Q. Okay. I know we talked a little bit about the fact that Mr. Irwin spoke to you and gave you some information about the marriage, but I want to direct your attention to what Mrs. Irwin told you.

So, it says, "Mrs. Irwin reports additional strain on her marriage post-accident of August 5th, 2012. She feels her husband does not understand the changes in her behaviors and mood. She feels he continues to have the same expectations of her abilities as he did pre-injury." I read that correctly; right?

- A. That's correct.
- **Q**. Okay. "She continues" -- I'm going to continue on, next sentence, "She continues to be concerned about her husband's abuse of alcohol and reports his drinking

- is greater since the accident, since she now relies on him to make many more decisions for the children and the household; "correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- **Q**. So, Mrs. Irwin told you that, after the accident, her husband's drinking problem actually worsened; isn't that right?
- A. That's not atypical.
- Q. I'm not saying whether it's typical or atypical, and that's a fair assessment. But the question for you, Dr. Hibbard, is: Mrs. Irwin, as a historian, told you on that occasion that, since the accident, her husband's drinking problem had worsened, not bettered or not stayed the same; right?
- A. That's correct.
- **Q**. Okay. And did she get in to the description of her husband's drinking problem either before the incident or after the incident, for instance, like, how often he drank and how bad the problem was?
- A. The focus of my interview was the patient and her stressors in life before and after, not a detailed assessment of family, extended family.
- Q. Okay. So, the answer to my question would be no; is that right?
- 25 A. That's correct. If she had been the one drinking,

- 1 it would be a primary focus.
- 2 Q. Okay. Let's go to -- I'm just going page to page.
 - A. That's fine. That's fine.
 - Q. That's probably the best way to do it.

Page 7, do you see the second to last paragraph,
and it is the third sentence from the bottom,

- "Mr. Irwin reports his wife is having" -- do you see that?
- A. Wait a minute. I haven't found it.
- Q. So, do you see the word "finally" in that second to last paragraph, "finally," the word "finally"?
- 12 Page 7.

3

4

7

8

- 13 A. Page 7. Just start the paragraph, read for me.
- 14 **Q**. Okay. Well, the paragraph begins, "As Mr. Irwin reports his wife has problems."
- 16 **A**. Got it.
- 17 **Q**. Okay. So, go down --
- 18 A. To "finally." I got it.
- 19 Q. Go down nine?
- 20 A. Yeah, I got it. Sorry.
- 21 Q. That's okay.
- A. I've read this so many times, I can't see it anymore.
- Q. So, it says, "Mr. Irwin reports his wife is having difficulty managing the finances of the house and is

spending more money than pre-accident; do you see that?

A. I do.

- **Q**. Okay. Was that important to you, to learn that Mrs. Irwin was having problems handling the finances?
- A. That was one of the many things she reported on her self-report, and again, this is not an uncommon finding because handling finances is an executive decision.
- **Q**. Okay. Are you aware that Mrs. Irwin testified the other day that her husband handles all the finances and that she doesn't do any of it and that it was true before the accident as well?
- A. This is as she reported it.
- **Q**. So, that information, if it was true, that would throw off your little opinion that you just gave about executive functioning; right?
- A. No. No, it doesn't. I mean --
- Q. Still the same opinion even though --
 - MR. CHARNAS: Could she please finish her answer?
 - A. -- there are many situations where it's not potentially paying bills but managing finances is the issue, and it's related in this case to spending.

 Spending is not managing the budget.

MR. LAWLER: One moment, please.(Pause)

Q. What I'd like to do now is I would like to review with you some of the tests that you administered to Mrs. Irwin in March or April.

Do you have those tests with you? I'm going to pop them up on the screen.

A. Yes, I do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- MR. LAWLER: They've been admitted in to evidence as Exhibit 46, and I'd like No. 46, Page 1 of that exhibit.
- **Q**. Okay. This is a FrSBe self-rating form; is that right?
- A. It stands for Frontal Systems Behavior checklist.

 That's what that acronym is.
- **Q**. Okay. And if you could go, please, to the full page of the document. And first of all, let me talk a little bit about this document.

This is what we talked about yesterday briefly, the self-report; right?

- A. That's correct.
- **Q**. Okay. So, the patient completes this document before you even see them; is that right?
- A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And where do they complete the document, in your

- office or at home before they come?
- A. No. They sit in a quiet section of the waiting room and complete the document, and then it's reviewed in session.
 - **Q**. Okay. Now, let's focus on the examples, to begin with. Okay. So, it gives you, the person who's taking the self-report form, it gives you instructions on how to respond to the questions that are asked of you; correct?
- 10 A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. And look -- for instance, it explains to you, "Before the illness or injury," you know, put down between one to five and then at the present time, one to five; right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 **Q**. Okay. And the example that you give is No. 1, "I feel confused;" right?
 - A. That's not the example I give; that's part of the test instructions.
 - Q. Okay. I apologize.
- So -- but if I'm taking this test, I sit down and I read the example; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And I have to make sure I understand the instructions so I can complete it adequately; correct?

A. That's correct.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. And it's safe to say that Mrs. Irwin, when she completed this particular self-rating form, that you believe she understood the instructions; right?
 - A. I reviewed the instructions in addition verbally with the patient, took the first item -- took the item and reviewed it with her again to be sure she understood it.
 - **Q**. Okay. You would agree with me, though, the first example, it says, "I feel confused, before illness or injury," and No. 1 is circled; right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And then it says, "At the present time," No. 4 is circled; right?
- 15 A. Correct.
 - **Q**. So, basically, in the example for the self-report, it's basically telling the patient that the symptoms are going to get worse; right?
 - A. Not necessarily. The second illustration highlights that, if you made an error, you could change it, and the numbers go from before to a -- at a three and a four post, which is a very different rating than one versus four.
 - **Q**. Okay.
- 25 A. I did not make up these illustrations. This is

part of a standardized test.

- **Q**. Oh, I understand that.
- MR. LAWLER: The next page, please, Page 2. And if you could just focus on the Question 1 to maybe Question 6. It doesn't -- just so we can see something.
- Q. So, No. 2, it says, "Is easily angered or irritated, has emotional outbursts without good reason," and Mrs. Irwin circled No. 1 before the illness or injury and then No. 4 at the present time; correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you see the underline of "without good"?
- A. Yes.

- **Q**. Would you agree with me that, that was probably done by Mrs. Irwin, the underlining of that two words?
- A. Well, I didn't write it, so I assume she must have.
 - **Q**. Okay. So, she's basically saying that she doesn't get easily angered or irritated "without good" being stressed; right? So, she knew essentially what to articulate in regard to answering that question; right?
- A. That's an interpretation, but it's a possible one.
 - MR. LAWLER: Okay. That's all for that particular test.

Q. Now, why don't we turn to -- we'll start -- so the jury knows, why don't we start with the same Exhibit number but Page 4, which is a brain injury screening questionnaire.

And it's a little bit difficult to read, but you can read the caption in the gray -- right? -- that says "Brain Injury Screening Questionnaire"?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay.
- A. I'm sorry. I didn't realize you were asking me.
- **Q**. And I think we talked about this yesterday. This 12 is a self-report as well?
- 13 A. That's correct.
 - **Q**. And is this also completed by Mrs. Irwin in the waiting room outside?
 - A. That's correct.
 - MR. LAWLER: Okay. If you could turn, please,
 Cort, to --
 - **Q**. And, by the way, there are several pages in this document. I'm not going to go through all of them, but just for the jury to understand, there are several pages in this document; right?
 - A. There are 100 symptoms that she needs to fill out, yes, and she -- and some prior history and some past psycho-social medical history.

- MR. LAWLER: Okay. If you could turn to, on the screen, Page 11.
 - Q. And this is -- I guess it's called a few more questions, and that's what happens, there's a few more questions; right?
 - A. Having gone through a doctor's office, the answer is always yes on that one, just a few more questions.
 - Q. I'm sorry?
 - A. It's part of the questionnaire.
- 10 **Q**. Okay. And that particular page -11 MR. LAWLER: If you could give the full page,

12 please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 13 Q. -- it asks eight questions; right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 **Q**. And Mrs. Irwin answered all the questions except 16 for No. 6; right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. And if you could focus on No. 6, please. And the question says, "Were you ever (or this person) ever labeled as having a learning disability or an attention-deficit disorder?" And Mrs. Irwin did not answer that question, did she?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, as a result of Mrs. Irwin not answering that question, did you go back and determine

whether or not she had been questioned as a child as having an attention-deficit disorder?

- A. I did. I did ask her about that question, and she said she was never officially labeled one way or the other on whether she had either problem.
- **Q**. Okay. So, they inquired -- they must be public school officials?
- A. Some school officials. She didn't specify who.
- Q. Right. Okay. So --

- A. And she had never had any testing done, so she says, "I guess it was okay, I didn't have to do anything about it."
- **Q**. Okay. I mean, it is what it is. But at some particular point, she was I guess targeted perhaps of having a learning disability at some point; is that right?
- A. I don't know what the situation was that raised those questions.
- **Q**. Okay. But I guess this is the question -- I mean, there's plenty of people with learning disabilities, and they do, obviously, very, very well.

But if someone has a history of a learning disability, is that important information for you to know in regarding IQ tests and in regarding other neuropsychological tests? Is that important

information?

- A. It's important information if it's officially diagnosed, if the person is on medication for that particular problem. More importantly, you would go back and look at her academics, see if she had been left back, had not finished school in the normal period of time, had major failures or not and, if so, what were they in. So that you look at history to support it or not.
- **Q**. Okay. And so, in regard to that, when you talk about academic records as well, you, in your report, you indicate that she has, like, for instance, approximately a 2.8 grade point average at Catholic University; right?
- A. That's correct.
- **Q**. Okay. And you point out in your report that most of her grades run in to the B range, B-minus range and that, in one particular semester, I think it was a history course, she received an F; is that right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. You don't mention, however, that she also received a D during one semester, did you?
 - A. No, I did not. I didn't note that, no.
 - **Q**. Okay. Were you aware that she received a D in Spanish during her second semester, I think, as a

- freshman? Were you aware of that?
- A. You know, it's funny, I think she talked about it because she hated the language, but --
 - **Q**. Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

24

- A. -- but it was not significant enough to warrant putting in every detail that the person reports here.
- Q. Okay. Did she hate history as well?
- **A**. I didn't -- she just tied that to her dislike of Spanish.
- Q. Okay. Now, we talked yesterday about another
 test, and this was the -- is it called the Rey, R-e-y,
 Complex Copy Test? Is that what it's called?
 - A. No. The Rey Visual Figure, Rey Visual Figure.
 - **Q**. RCFT, what does that stand for?
- 15 A. Rey Complex Figure Test.
 - **Q**. Okay. And essentially what you have to do is you give the person this complex figure -- and we're going to see it in a few moments when it goes up on the screen -- and the person is asked to copy it; right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And then you take a look at how well they do, and then you grade them and assign them a particular percentile; right?
 - A. You take each component of the figure, and you determine, Is it accurately drawn and correctly placed?

- And then you determine, on a 0-2 scale, does the person get full credit, which is 2 for that component or zero or a half a point or a point?
 - Q. Okay. So -- and you can either get a 2, a 1 --
- 5 A. Yeah.

- 6 **Q**. -- a .5 or a zero; right?
- 7 A. Correct. And there are criteria for all of those subcategories.
- 9 **Q**. Right. And there are 18 grading events basically; 10 right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 **Q**. Okay. And so, the maximum score you can get is a 36; right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. And then, obviously, I guess the minimum score would be, if you couldn't copy it at all, would be a zero; is that right?
 - A. That's unable to do. You don't even --
- 19 **Q**. Right.

18

20

21

22

23

24

- A. You just recognize that the person can't even enter in to the task.
 - MR. LAWLER: Okay. So, let's -- why don't we put on -- we're going to go back -- there's two documents, I'm going to go back and forth. One is the Rey sheet that shows the complex figure -- and by the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

It took

```
way, is -- let me -- I'm going to show the jury this --
can I show this to the --
       THE COURT:
                   Sure.
       MR. LAWLER: This is a document that's been
admitted in to evidence, and you'll see it on the
screen in a second, but I just want to -- can I
approach, Your Honor?
       THE COURT:
                   Sure.
Q.
    Dr. Hibbard, is the patient, who in this case is
Megan Irwin, is she actually shown this particular
photograph?
             She is given -- as I explained earlier to
    No, no.
the jury, the picture is much enlarged on a clean white
glossy visual.
Q.
    Okay.
     It does not include any of the numbers. She has
no idea that numbers exist for anything on this.
                    Okay. So, let's put on the screen
       MR. LAWLER:
the same exhibit, Page 13.
Q.
    And that's the figure that Mrs. Irwin drew;
correct?
Α.
    That's correct.
Q.
    Okay. That's -- and how long are you given to
draw that?
```

It's un-timed. There's no time limit.

- 1 Megan three minutes and 13 seconds to do it.
- Q. Three minutes and 13 seconds. Is that fast or slow or medium or average or what?
 - A. I would say that's slow.
 - Q. I'm not a real good drawer. If I asked for a ruler if I took this test, would you give me one?
 - A. No, I would not.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever heard of the old expression that sometimes some people can't draw a straight line?
- 10 A. I've heard the expression.
- 11 Q. Okay. Well, I'm one of those people.
- 12 **A**. I'm sorry.

5

6

7

19

- Q. Would you agree with me that some people can't draw a straight line, they have trouble with that?
- A. I would agree that some people have more difficulty than not, yes.
- Q. Okay. Just because I can't draw a straight line,
 am I -- well, I'm afraid for this answer -- am I
- 20 A. Potentially.

impaired?

- 21 Q. Fair enough. I asked for what I got.
- 22 **Q**. All right. Now, let's go to Page 12.
- A. Page 12 of the report?
- 24 **Q**. No.
- MR. LAWLER: I'm sorry. I'm telling Cort, my IT

- specialist, who helps me out. Thank you.
- Q. This is the scoring sheet; right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - MR. LAWLER: Okay. Cort, if you could --
 - **Q**. Okay. And that's the diagram that tells you what particular parts of that RCFT are being scored; correct?
- 8 A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Now, let's -- so, like, for instance, No. 1 is the -- up in the left-hand corner is the cross, and the face, the funny face, is 11 and things like that; right?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. And so a person can get either 2, 1, .5 or zero, and the scoring criteria is set forth in that little area to the right of the diagram; right?
 - A. Correct.
 - MR. LAWLER: Okay. Cort, if you could, just could we see the last half of the diagram, please? If you could kind of blow that up, see if we can see that pretty good.
- 22 **Q**. Okay. Can you see that, ma'am?
- 23 A. I can.
- 24 Q. So, her total score was a 29 out of 36; right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- Q. And this particular test, this test actually -and it's redundant here -- this test tests executive functioning; correct?
 - A. Along with other things, yes.
 - **Q**. Okay. What are the other things?
- A. The other things are visual perceptual skills orcomplex visual attention.
 - **Q**. Okay. So, I think it's your handwriting. The score is 29.0; do you see that?
- 10 A. Yes.

5

8

9

20

21

22

- 11 **Q**. Okay. And underneath that, it looks like 12 one percent; right?
- 13 A. Less than one percent.
- 14 Q. Less than one percent?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. So, you're basically saying that, that particular drawing by Mrs. Irwin is in the -- is less than one percent of the people who can copy that particular drawing; right?
 - A. No. I'm saying that, relative to norms for women of her age group, the expectation is much higher than that, and relative to those norms, she's at less than first percentile.
- Q. Okay. So, I guess in layman's terms, she did really bad on that test; right?

A. Relative to her age group, yes.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And are you able to tell me -- and you don't have to necessarily give me the exact figures, but you would agree with me that, if she gets a 30 instead of a 29, that she goes up a few percentage points; is that right or not right?
 - A. She may go up, but it will be minimal because the bias is the fact that this is an un-timed task, that you will do it accurately as -- and it isn't accurate.
 - **Q**. Okay. So, if -- again, if I take the test and I get a 36, I would assume that I'm in the hundred percentile; right?
 - A. No. Your score will be interpreted as greater than 75th percentile. That is a nomenclature of this particular score.
 - **Q**. Okay. Greater than 75th percentile. So, what score out of the 36 do I need to get to get greater than 75 percentile?
 - A. It's either 34 or 35 out of 36.
 - Q. Okay. And so, either -- you're not sure?
- A. I'm not sure because I don't have the norms with me, but it's very close to perfect score.
- Q. Okay. So, basically, 34, 35, 36, you're above the 75 percentile. How about if you get a 33? Where do you drop it to?

- A. You'd probably be -- it's a fairly precipitous drop for copy, it's less so for immediate and recall --
- **Q**. Okay. But we're on copy right now. Let's stay on this one.
- A. On copy? Again, I can't answer that question because I don't have the norms in front of me. It would definitely decline, and it declines rather rapidly.
- Q. Okay. So, on the -- let's -- I have the scoring sheet. We're not going to be able to do this if we're on the same page, but that's okay.

I have the scoring sheet, and I'm going to put the drawing back up.

- MR. LAWLER: Cort, if you can make that bigger.
- **Q**. And I take it, you know, like, when my kids, when they have a test sometimes and they don't do as well and they go back to the teacher and they argue for a few extra points, I take it that you can't do that on neuropsychological tests, can you?
- A. Not according to the protocol, no.
- **Q**. Okay.

- A. And a person doesn't know what the score is at the end.
 - **Q**. Okay. So, vertical cross is the cross up in the left-hand corn er; right?

- A. Can I touch the screen? I can show you where it is.
- MR. LAWLER: Cort, do you see that vertical cross? Could you highlight that in yellow? Just keep it in yellow. Thanks.
- **Q**. You only gave her a one. How come?

- A. Well, if you look at the original drawing with those numbers on it, which is my scoring sheet, the instruction is she has the cross, that's true -- all right? -- but the cross bar here that connects it should be up towards the top of the figure, and it's not; it's in the wrong location relative to the page, so she moves a detail around of that number one score.
- Q. Okay. And then the next one, two, is the large rectangular -- excuse me -- large rectangle. You only gave her a one on that one; right?
- A. That's because, if you remove all of the information on the inside and outside and just leave the rectangle, it's a piecemeal rectangle. Look at that top line. It's not a continuous straight line.
- Q. Yeah, she can't --
- A. It's obviously composed of two sub-boxes, which is the way she drew the information.
 - **Q**. -- can't draw a straight line; right?
- 25 A. No. She started -- if you notice where I have the

```
start here, that's always my reminder of where someone starts this particular design, and she started technically in the middle of the design, or a detail at the top of the design is where she chose to start, which is probably not the most efficient way to do this, but it's something that I see very often.

Q. Okay. No. 8 is the four parallel lines?

A. Right.
```

- **Q.** It looks to me like she's got one, two, three, four parallel lines. You only gave her a one?
- A. Right. The four lines are not exactly parallel; they're going up in the air. They are not evenly spaced. She had to erase one.
- MR. CHARNAS: Your Honor, can the witness use the screen to make marks on the --

THE COURT: Sure.

A. Oh, sure. It's this section here.

MR. LAWLER: This is my examination, counsel, by the way, but you can do that. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

Q. Okay.

- A. I have had people who did 36 out of 36. It is possible.
 - **Q**. Oh, I betcha. I'd need a ruler, but that's beside the point.

Now, 17, that's the bottom cross; is that right?

A. That's right.

- Q. And she only got a one on that?
- A. Yeah, in part because it's connected to the design, not in the middle of the design; it's off to the right of the design -- all right? -- the -- and the spacing, therefore, between the box that she has, which is that thing in the corner, this thing here, and where this line is, is different.
- **Q**. So, I guess let's cut to the chase on this one. So, basically, because of those mistakes that you've gone over, you put her in what category? What's less than one percent?
- A. It's the impaired category, and I didn't put her there; her performance put her there relative to norms.
- **Q**. Well, let's talk about that. It's subjective, your grading is subjective; correct?
- A. No. My grading is based on criteria. The criteria is up in the right corner of the grid, and it's very explicit in Rey, that you need to be a stickler on detail.
- **Q**. So, you would say that basically -- let's just deal with 17 with the cross at the end, you're saying that all the neuropsychologists who administer this particular test are going to give her a one in that

situation?

A. I can't say that. I follow normative materials and instructions on the test booklet. Over the years, this exam has become more finite. Years ago, there was not a dual criteria for scoring, but over time, the explicitness of the detail and scoring have improved.

- **Q.** But this isn't like, you know, math where you say two plus two is four -- right? -- you know the answer; right? This is more like olympic gymnastics; right?
- A. No, it's not. This involves how somebody organizes the total percept and then actually goes about organizing in doing it. If you notice, in my notes down the bottom of the page, it starts with, "horizontal midline."

She drew a line right down the middle of the page. That's where she started -- all right? -- working piecemeal approach, frequently erasing and correcting, and I'm putting in parenthesis for my own impression, it's poor planning. Obviously, she does this very poorly. Most people do not start that way.

MR. LAWLER: All right. We're done with this test. Let's move on to another one.

A. All right.

MR. LAWLER: If you could put up 21, the same Exhibit. And so why don't you, if you would, please,

```
1 highlight, Cort, the beginning of the paragraph.
```

- Q. I know this is Part 5 of the test, Doctor --
- A. Correct.

3

8

- 4 **Q**. -- but I wanted to, you know, not go to the beginning; I'd rather just start -- do you know which
- 6 test this is?
- 7 A. Yes, I do.
 - **Q**. Okay. Can you tell the jury what test this is?
- 9 A. This is called the vocabulary sub-test of the IQ
 10 test, the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale No. IV.
- 11 **Q**. Okay. And this particular test, I mean, for -12 it's a vocabulary test; right?
- A. It's a vocabulary test, but it needs -- it is
 looking at the ability to conceptualize a very tight
 definition of a given word.
 - Q. Okay. So -- and is that your handwriting on --
- 17 **A**. Yes, it is.
- 18 **Q**. It is?
- 19 **A**. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. So, for instance --
- MR. LAWLER: Highlight, Cort, if you could, please, the first one.
- Q. So, do you just start with No. 5, you just don't do No. 1 through 4; is that right?
- 25 A. There's instructions up at the top of the page

- that talk about reversal. If there's an early failure on these easier items, you go back upward.
 - **Q**. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

- A. I did not have to do that. Her answer, in essence, was a red fruit, and that was fine.
 - **Q.** So, how do you administer the test? Do you have this in your hand and she is not looking at it, or does she have something in front of her too?
 - A. She has a card that has these words written on it, numbered but written on it, and the instruction is to give me the best definition that you can for each of these words.
 - Q. Okay. So, you essentially -- are you sitting across the table from her?
- 15 A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. So, you're sitting across the table from her, she has a list of words, you have the scoring sheet in your hand, and you're taking down the information; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So, you say to her, or to anybody taking the test, "Apple," and then she --
- A. No. I usually say, "Can you give me a good definition of an apple?"
- Q. Okay. "Can you give me a good definition of an

- 1 apple?" And then how long does the person have to
 2 respond to that particular question?
 - A. These are un-timed responses.
- Q. Okay. So you say -- I'm taking the test. You say, "John, Apple, just give me a good word for apple,"
- 6 and I said, "Red fruit that I love to eat;" right?
- 7 Would I get two points?
- 8 A. Yes, you would.

- 9 **Q**. And so, we keep going down the list; is that 10 right?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 **Q**. And you get zero if you don't know the word at all basically; right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you get one if you know the word -- right? -- know part of the word?
- A. You know part of the word or it's not a complete definition.
- Q. Okay. And then No. 2 you get if you get it right,
 like, I would have got the right answer for apple;
 right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. So, you know, obviously -- and we can just kind of go through a few of them.
- MR. LAWLER: Let's go to 12 -- or actually, why

```
don't you do 12, 13, 14 and 15.
```

- **Q**. Okay. So, we have -- in front of us, we have four words, we have "tranquil, ponder, reluctant," and then "confide;" right?
- A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

Q. So, the first one, 12, you asked -- and this is obviously Mrs. Irwin now, you're asking her, "What is -- the word is 'tranquil.' What's a good definition for tranquil?"

And, obviously, the right definition is probably peaceful or something like that, serenity, peacefulness, something like that; right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And Mrs. Irwin, she gets that word wrong, that's not within her lexicon; right?
- A. She gets that word wrong.
 - Q. Okay. Well, when I say -- do you know what lexicon, the word "lexicon" means?
- 19 **A**. Yes, I do.
 - Q. That means within your vocabulary; right?
- 21 A. Potentially, yes.
 - Q. Okay. I mean, some people have the word "tranquil" within their vocabulary, and some people don't; right?
- But, in any event, she gets the word wrong?

1 Α. Correct. So, she gets zero points, I understand. 2 Q. 3 Okay. The word -- the next word, though --4 MR. LAWLER: Can you highlight that one, please? -- "ponder, the word is 'ponder.' What's a good 5 Q. 6 definition of ponder?" 7 And she says, "To wonder." That sounds pretty 8 good to me. 9 You only get one credit, unfortunately. There are 10 standardized scoring for each of these items, and a one 11 includes those thoughts without the implicit that there 12 is a long period of time in which you think about 13 something. There's a period of time missing that's not 14 in --15 Oh, so you can't ponder something quickly; it's got to be over a long period of time? 16 17 I did not make the definitions or the criteria for 18 this test. This test goes back to 30, 40 years now. 19 It has been well-normed on people. It is now in its fourth revision, and there are criteria to follow. 20 21 Q. Okay. Can I get a dictionary from my --22 THE COURT: Sure. 23 Q. "Ponder: To weigh in the mind, to think about, to 24 think or consider." That sounds like to me like to

25

wonder; right?

```
1
      Α.
           Unfortunately, that's a -- which dictionary is
 2
      that?
 3
      Q.
           It came from my house. It is Michael Lawler's
 4
      dictionary, Michael F.X. Lawler.
 5
              MR. CHARNAS: Your Honor, I object.
 6
              THE COURT: Sustained.
      Q.
           It's the tenth edition. He's 28 years old in
 7
 8
      November, so --
9
              MR. CHARNAS: Objection. May we approach
10
       sidebar for a quick moment, Judge?
              THE COURT: Can it wait till 1:00?
11
12
              MR. CHARNAS: Really quick, Judge.
13
              (Discussion at sidebar)
14
              MR. CHARNAS: Your Honor, I haven't said
15
      anything up until now, but Mr. Lawler has repeatedly
16
      made references to his family --
17
              THE COURT: Okay.
18
              MR. CHARNAS: -- as an attempt to ingratiate
19
      himself to the jury.
20
              THE COURT: I don't know if it's an attempt
21
      to --
22
              MR. LAWLER: Well, on that example, she asked me
23
      a question, and that's Michael Lawler's dictionary
24
              THE COURT: The answer she was looking for is
25
      the Miriam Webster Dictionary --
```

```
MR. LAWLER: Okay, understood.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: -- as I think you very well knew.
 3
      But I take his point. You can't draw a straight line
 4
      and you can't --
 5
              MR. LAWLER: I think that is perfectly fine
 6
      cross-examination.
 7
              THE COURT: It's not a question.
 8
              MR. CHARNAS: It's also inappropriate to inject
9
      yourself like that in to the question.
              MR. LAWLER: All right. Understood. Thank you.
10
11
              THE COURT: Thank you.
12
              (End of discussion at sidebar)
13
      Q.
           Okay. Let's -- I mean, 14 she gets right,
14
       "reluctant, not wanting to do an action," so she gets
15
      two points for that; right?
16
      Α.
           Correct.
17
           "Confide," so you say to Mrs. Irwin, you say,
18
       "Give me a definition for the word 'confide,'" and --
19
      and what you write down is, "To speak to someone about
20
      personal thoughts." I can't read the --
21
      Α.
           -- "or private thoughts."
22
           -- "or private thoughts." And you give her a one
23
      for that.
24
           Initially I had scored it as a two, and when I
      Α.
25
      went back to double-check my criteria from the
```

Wechler's Manual, it's a one, so I downgraded it to a one. There is an assumption that the confide needs to include a "maintain privacy and confidentiality" component to it, and it doesn't.

- **Q**. So, let's go to the next page, which is 22. 16, if you would, the word "remorse. It's to feel badly for someone or something." And you only give her one on that one.
- A. Again, the criteria says this is a one response.
- **Q**. What's wrong with that answer?
- A. I don't remember without my criteria book here, but I would assume it has something about an element of not doing it again. There's an element in it that is missing.
- Q. Okay. The next word is "plagiarize;" correct?
- 16 A. Correct.

- **Q**. "To falsify documents, statements that were not originally yours, falsify." What's wrong with that? You only give one point.
- A. Because, to get a two-point answer, you need to say, "Use as your own and not acknowledge that it's anyone else's."
 - MR. LAWLER: Okay, that's all for that.

We can take that off the screen, please, I think the last one for the tests.

If you could put up No. 25, please.

- **Q**. This is information?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And what does that mean, information?
- A. The information sub-test of the intellectual test.
- 6 It's a component of the intellectual test. There are
- 7 basic factual information that you ask a person, and
- 8 there's typically only one answer for it because it's
- 9 facts.

1

2

3

4

5

- 10 \mathbf{Q} . Okay. So, for instance, like on No. 7, you say,
- 11 | "What's the chemical make-up of water?"
- 12 A. That's correct.
 - **Q**. And H2O, and they get a --
- 14 A. Is one of the answers.
- 15 Q. Now, what does this test lead to, though, as far
- as -- is this a determination of IQ or pre-morbid
- 17 functioning or what?
- 18 A. Neither of the above. It is a sub-component of
- 19 the verbal comprehension measure, and collectively the
- verbal comprehension measure is a suggestion of IQ, but
- 21 it is one of a composite of other measures that become
- 22 a verbal comprehension score.
- \mathbf{Q} . Okay. But would you agree with me that people
- 24 always don't have the same strengths in certain
- 25 subjects, like, for instance, someone may be good at

- history and English, and someone is better at
 mathematics and chemistry and all that?
 - A. I think that's probably why these composite scores for the IQ consist of multiple measures, so that they can make up for some of those differences.
 - **Q**. So, is this test, though, to determine IQ?
 - A. No. It's one of the components of -- I think there's ten measures or 12 measures that make IQ, not one standing by itself.
 - **Q**. But it's a component of it?
 - A. It's a component of it.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

18

19

20

- 12 Q. Okay. So, like, for instance, let's look at Question 11 and also Question 18.
 - MR. LAWLER: So, underline Question 11 and Question 18.
- Q. So, for instance, "Civil War," what do you do in that situation? What's the --
 - A. The question was, "Who was President during the Civil War?"
 - **Q**. Okay. And then for Sacagawea, what's the question for Sacagawea?
- A. You know, I don't remember the specifics of it,
 but it has to do -- a Native American that was very
 influential in -- I don't remember the wording of it -development of the west, something like that.

- Q. Lewis and Clark Expedition; right?
- A. Yeah. They don't mention Lewis and Clark specifically, but they allude to it in the --
 - Q. Okay. So -- but isn't it safe to say that someone, for instance, who didn't like history or do well in history just might not get those answers because they didn't have the interest in that; correct?
 - A. That's a possibility.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- **Q**. Okay. So, like, for instance, with Mrs. Irwin, I mean, she didn't do in college that well in her history courses; right?
- A. Her failures were very few or her poor scores were very few --
 - **Q**. Where, in college or in these tests?
 - A. In college. I can't answer that question. I mean, you know, there are many people who know lots of information and still hate the subject in school. Which it is for her, I don't know.
 - MR. LAWLER: Okay. You can take that down, please.

THE COURT: Mr. Lawler, is this a topic that's going to be two minutes or less, or would you like to break for lunch?

MR. LAWLER: No. It's going to be more than two minutes, but I'm getting close to being done. I mean,

```
I probably have 15 minutes left, maybe a little bit
 1
 2
      more than that, but it's certainly not two minutes.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. I'm going to break for
 4
      lunch.
              You can come back.
 5
              Okay. So, I understand -- is an hour too long
 6
      for lunch? Would you all like shorter, or is an hour
 7
      about right? I thought there was some interest in
      having lunch a little shorter and the day a little
 8
9
      shorter?
10
              THE JUROR: Yeah, we talked about it, but we
11
      didn't come to a decision.
12
                          Okay. Why don't we try 45 minutes
              THE COURT:
13
      today.
14
              THE JUROR:
                         Okay, great.
15
              THE COURT: And you can see how that feels,
16
      okav?
             So, quarter of 2:00?
17
              THE JUROR:
                          Sure.
18
              (The jury is not present for the following)
19
              THE COURT:
                          So, if they come back at quarter of
20
      2:00, we're likely going to have to take another break
21
      in the afternoon. I don't think I can make them sit
22
      from quarter of 2:00 until 4:00, so we'll take a short
23
      recess at some point. Okay.
24
              (Recess, 1:00 p.m.)
25
```

CERTIFICATION I, Debra D. Lajoie, RPR-FCRR-CRI-RMR, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcription of my stenographic notes in the above-entitled case. /s/ Debra D. Lajoie 5/18/16